

"Monarchs ought to put to death the authors and instigators of war, as their sworn enemies and as dangers to their states." - Queen Elizabeth I.

GRAUSTARK

132 1965F, 1965Q, 1966B, 1966R, 1966AA, 1967U, 1967V 17/VI/67

1967U

"Fall 1901"

FRENCH FRIED; GREECE WIPED UP

ENGLAND (Maloney): A Yor-Edi; F
North Sea-Norway; F Norwegian Sea
S F North Sea-Norway.

FRANCE (Uhr): A Par-Bur; A Mar S A
Par-Bur; F Bre-Pic.

GERMANY (M. Miller): A Ruh-Bel; F
Hol S A Ruh-Bel; A Kie-Den.

ITALY (Clark): A Pic-Mar; A Ven-Tus;
F Ion-Tun.

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY (Latimer): A Tri-Tyr;
F Alb-Gre; A Ser S F Alb-Gre.

RUSSIA (G. Heap): F Bot-Swe; A St.P-
Fin; F Sev-Rum; A Ukr S F Sev-Rum.

TURKEY (Bashara): F Ank-Bla; A Bul-
Rum; A Con-Bul.

Underlined moves are not possible. The High Combatant Powers now control the following supply centers:

ENGLAND: Edi, Liv, Lon, Nor. (4)

FRANCE: Bre, Mar, Par. (3)

GERMANY: Bel, Ber, Den, Hol, Kie,
Mun. (6)

ITALY: Nap, Rom, Tun, Ven. (4)

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY: Bud, Gre, Ser, Tri,
Vie. (5)

RUSSIA: Mos, Rum, St.P, Sev, Swe,
War. (6)

TURKEY: Ank, Bul, Con, Smy. (4)

Germany may build 3 new units, Austria-Hungary and Russia may each build two, and England, Italy, and Turkey may each build one. The deadline for these "Winter 1901" moves is NOON, SATURDAY 1 JULY 1967.

BLACK SEA AND BARENTS SEA: The Turkish and English governments declare their willingness to negotiate jointly with the Russian government,

(continued on p. 5)

1967V

"Fall 1901"

ITALIAN INVASION HALTED IN AUSTRIA

ENGLAND (Lebling): F Norwegian Sea-
Norway; F North Sea-Lon; A Yor-
Lon.

FRANCE (Musbach): A Spa-Por; F Eng
S A Pic-Bel; A Pic-Bel.

GERMANY (M. Thomson): A Ruh-Hol; A
Kie-Den; F Den-Swe.

ITALY (Griffin): A Tyr-Vie; A Ven-
Tyr; F Ion-Tun.

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY (Anderson): F Alb-
Tri; A Ser S F Alb-Tri; A Bud-
Vie.

RUSSIA (Gordon): F St.P-Bot; A War-
Sil; A Mos-War; F Sev-Rum.

TURKEY (S. Heap): A Bul-Gre; F Con-
Bul(s.o.); A Smy-Ank.

Underlined moves are not possible. The High Combatant Powers now control the following supply centers:

ENGLAND: Edi, Liv, Lon, Nor. (4)

FRANCE: Bel, Bre, Mar, Par, Por. (5)

GERMANY: Ber, Den, Hol, Kie, Mun,
Swe. (6)

ITALY: Nap, Rom, Tun, Ven. (4)

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY: Bud, Ser, Tri,
Vie. (4)

RUSSIA: Mos, Rum, St.P, Sev, War. (5)

TURKEY: Ank, Bul, Con, Gre, Smy. (5)

Germany may build 3 new units, France and Turkey may each build two, and England, Italy, Austria-Hungary, and Russia may each build one. The deadline for these "Winter 1901" moves is NOON, SATURDAY 1 JULY 1967.

GRAUSTARK is 10 issues for \$1 from John Boardman, 592 16th Street, Brooklyn, N. Y. 11218, U. S. A.

1965F

TURKEY WINS WAR;

"Spring 1914"

NEW GOVERNMENT IN FRANCE; NO GOVERNMENT IN ENGLAND

ENGLAND (Sanders): No moves received. A Edi, A Lon, F Den, F Bel, F Eng, F Por, F Spa(s.c.), F North Africa hold; A St.P, A Bre, & F Tun dislodged and annihilated.

FRANCE (Naus): A Ber S A Kie; A Kie S A Ber; A Ruh S TURKISH A Tyr-Mun; A Gas-Bre; A Par S A Gas-Bre; A Mar-Bur.

TURKEY (Wells): F Wes-North Africa; F Ion-Tun; F Tyr S F Ion-Tun; F Aeg-Ion; F Pie-Mar; F Lyo S F Pie-Mar; A Tyr-Mun; A Vie-Boh; A Gal-Sil; A Mos-St.P; A Liv S A Mos-St.P; A Pru S A Gal-Sil; A Sev-Mos; A Ser-Tri; A Apu-Ven; A Ank-Arm.

Underlined moves are not possible. Failing any moves from Charles Brannan, the moves submitted for France by Hal Naus were used. Following these "Spring 1914" moves, Turkey has 16 units on the Board, while England has 8, and France, 6. Turkey, with an absolute majority of the units on the board, is therefore the winner.

GRANDE CASINO, BEAUCOUILLON (1 August 1914): At 9:30 last night, Mustapha Hoor, the Turkish Ambassador, was seated at a roulette table in the Grand Ducal Bourse. As he put 500 ducats on "Impair", a diplomatic courier handed him a sealed envelope. The Ambassador broke open the seal, and after reading the message whooped for joy, and proclaimed to the glittering diplomatic assemblage that a newly concluded treaty with France, combined with the utter collapse of England, had brought about a Turkish victory in the war that has convulsed Europe and enriched Beaucouillon for over 13 years. He ordered champagne served to the whole house.

"How about you, Musty?" shouted Count Oblowoff. "Doesn't your Koran forbid you to drink wine?"

The towering Turk dipped one finger into a brimming glass of champagne, and flicked a drop to the floor. "The Koran," he replied, "says, 'Thou shalt not drink one drop of wine'. That was the one drop."

The exception to the general rejoicing was in the English embassy, which was dark and shuttered. After a long search, the English Ambassador, Sir Oliver Mottke-Wrench, was finally discovered - at the Bourse's pay-off window, where he was collecting 300,000 gold ducats in winnings. Sir Oliver, it appears, had bet heavily on Turkey at the time of the fall of the Peck government.

1965Q

CIVIL INSURRECTION IN TURKEY?

"Spring 1915"

ENGLAND (Koning): F Eng-Bre; F Liv-North Atlantio; F Iri-Mid; F Bel-Eng; A Edi-Den; F North Sea C A Edi-Den; F Hol-Kie; F Kie-Bal; A Pic-Bur; A Par S A Pic-Bur; A Gal S AUSTRO-HUNGARIAN A Bud; A War-Ukr; A Mos S A War-Ukr; A Lon holds.

FRANCE (Whalen): No moves received. A Mar & F Spa(s.o.) hold.

GERMANY (Latimer): A Mun-Ber.

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY (K. Pattee): A Bud S A Vie-Tri; A Vie-Tri.

(continued on p. 5)

1966B

"Winter 1910"

WAR ACCELERATES AS END NEARS

ENGLAND (Davidson): Builds A Edi, F Lon.

TURKEY (Pournelle): Builds A Con, F Smy.

All players except Tom Griffin (France) have also sent in "Spring 1911", though Dr. Pournelle stated that his were tentative. I have asked him to affirm them; if this comes through by 20 June at the latest I will adjudicate the "Spring 1911" moves (getting Griffin's by phone) and send out the moves by carbon copy. The deadline for "Fall 1911" moves will then be set at NOON, SATURDAY 1 JULY 1967.

ROME: King Vic, or Emmanuel, recently crowned head of the Italian nation following the retirement of Tribune Roberto Reali issued the following statement today in answer to English provocation of an Italo-Turkish War.

"Whadda ya mean 'What the hell are we doing?'; how about you!!! The history of English-Italian relations in this century is a history of Italian cooperation and English perfidy. In the summer campaign of 1905 the Italian Army in Marseilles was instrumental in the capture of Spain by the English fleet. Following a winter in Spain as a result of this beneficence, the fleet moved on to Marseilles, decimating the very unit that had made its victory possible.

It is English arrogance such as this that has driven her allies one by one to break their ties with her schemes to dominate Europe. In contrast the Turks, even while we were at war with them, were scrupulously honest in their dealings with us. They have yet to fail in one item of their promised intentions; they have yet to take one Italian life since our truce; they have yet to enter Italian territory save by invitation. If Italy must serve as a junior partner in the new order she can at least see that it is an honorable Turkish new order and not English tyranny and continental subjection.

P. S. Since the English are notoriously dense we will spell it out categorically: You can take your alliance offer and go straight to Grand Beaucauillon with it.

1966R

"Spring 1911"

TURKEY FAILS TO BECOME FIFTH POWER TO OCCUPY MUNICH

The French "Winter 1910" build reported as "A Mar" could not have been made; it was a conditional build, and Marseilles was not open at that time. No new French unit was established at that time.

FRANCE (Berman): F Bar S F Norway; F North Sea-Ska; F Norway S F North Sea-Ska ((sic; this unit in Norway is actually an army)); F Den-Bal; F Hol-North Sea; F Mar-Spa; F Lyo-Tyr; F North Africa-Tun; F Wes S F North Africa-Tun; A Kie-Ber; A Mun S A Kie-Ber; A Ruh & A Bur S A Mun.

ITALY (Sanders): No move received; F Tun dislodged and annihilated.

RUSSIA (Levinson): A St.P S A Mos; A Mos S A St.P; F Swe-Den; A Ber S TURKISH A Boh-Mun.

TURKEY (Wagner): A Sev-Mos; A Ukr-War; A War-Pru; A Gal-Sil; A Boh-Mun; A Ven-Pie; A Tyr S A Ven-Pie; A Tri-Vie; A Tus-Ven; F Nap-Rom; F Ion-Nap; F Aeg-Ion; F Con-Aeg; F Smy-Eas; F Tyr S ITALIAN F Tun.

Underlined moves are not possible.

The deadline for "Fall 1911" moves in this game is NOON, SATURDAY 1 JULY 1967.

CONSTANTINOPLE (26 March 1911): The choice lies with the Russians. The final opportunity to avenge von Kluck is fast approaching.

CONSTANTINOPLE (1 May 1911): The Special Department for Tracing the Heir to the Throne issued a bulletin proclaiming that a certain Prince Ottoman Zolla has been determined to be the rightful claimant. Sultan Ottoman submitted his claim early last year as 478th in line, and it has been brought to light that the other 474 candidates all died of broken arms received in the Great Austrian Back-Stab. The Sultan was informed of these developments via special delivery letter through his Juneau, Alaska address several days ago, but no word has been received in reply. In the interim, the military government of Field Marshal Pusha continues to rule.

1966AA

"Winter 1910"

SALONA DENIES "PRINCESS SVETSOXA" STORY

ENGLAND (Dygart): Builds F Edi. AUSTRIA-HUNGARY (Walker): Builds GERMANY (Latimer): Removes A Ukr. F Tri.

The deadline for "Spring 1911" moves is NOON, SATURDAY 1 JULY 1967.

SALONA (15 November 1909): The Ministry of Truth of the Federal Empire of Balkania today released the first official statement of any power concerning the alleged "Princess Svetsoxa". The statement noted that, since Her Majesty was born in 1888, which was also the year of Her Father's elevation as Pope (not 1866 as reported in the left-wing radical press), Her Majesty's age is indeed but 21 years old, and it is therefore impossible for Her to be the mother of anyone like Mrs. Hullabalua. "In fact," the statement said, "it is well known that the name 'Svetsoxa' is not a traditional name in the Borgia family, in which all female infants are named Lucrezia, Belladonna, Matahari, or other meaningful names. It is also well known that the name Lucrezia is most traditional, having been derived from the founder of the family, Lucre Borgia, in the 7th Century. For most of his life, Lucre was a Stalagmite monk, and rigorously refrained from avoiding the pleasures of the flesh. In accordance with his vows, he also refrained from bathing; hence, he is known to history as St. Lucre the Filthy."

SAN FRANCISCO (23 November 1909): His former Holiness, ex-Pope Innocent XIV (Antonio Bordsha), was today appointed, by His daughter Pope Joan II, Archbishop of San Francisco, with jurisdiction over all California, Oregon, Washington, Nevada, Arizona, and Baja California. His Eminence expressed great pleasure over his appointment, hinting darkly that he had uncovered a sinister plot against the Church Militant and Hereditary in the immediate vicinity. "The Inquisition will look into it," he said.

BEOGRAD (25 December 1909): The Grand Christmas Orgy, now in its 12th day here in the New Vatican, is the highlight of the Balkanian Social Season, which begins each year with Her Majesty's Birthday Party in October. This year, the entire New Vatican has turned out for the orgy, whose activities have centered around the Papal Cathedral, the Cathedral of St. Cleopatra of the Nile. Her Holiness, Pope Joan II, is, as usual, the life of the orgy, which is also a Bordsha family reunion. Attending this season are Her Holiness' brother, Caesare Bordsha, Her uncle, Julius Bordsha (Governor of Austrian America), Her father, Archbishop Antonio Bordsha (formerly Pope Innocent XIV), Her cousin, Countess Hagnelda Pilsudski, now Empress of Sevastopol, all of

Her Holiness' children, and many other Bordshass. At the height of the festivities, Her Holiness issued a Great Proclamation. She called for a Holy War against the infidel Turks and the perfidious English, declaring that they would all be driven into the sea. "However," said Her Holiness, "We do not intend to attack Palestine. Too dangerous." Otherwise, Her announcement said, the Turks would be destroyed by the Balkanian armies, while our glorious ally Germany was storming the defenses of perfidious Albion.

1965Q (continued from p. 2)

TURKEY (Kuch): No moves received. Armies Sev, Ank, Con, Rum, Bul, Alb, Tyr, and Fleets Por, Wes, Smy, Ela, and Arm hold. F Tri dislodged and annihilated.

The deadline for "Fall 1915" moves is NOON, SATURDAY 1 JULY 1967. Jim Sanders is first stand-by in 1965Q, but since he has just defaulted in 1965F and 1966R, he will not be asked for stand-by moves here. Tom Griffin and Hal Naus are asked to submit "Fall 1915" moves for Turkey and France respectively.

1967U (continued from p. 1)

CAIRO to AMMAN: If you support A Sinai-Negev, I'll support you into Jerusalem on the next move.

AMMAN: What next move? Spring 1977?

ROCHESTER: "We did it because they lied, because they took us for a ride, and because God was on our side!" - Moshe Dylan (Bob Dayan)

TORTUGA: A tragic accident took place at the Pirate's Rest Skating Rink last night. A young man named Bates, third mate to Captain Anne Bunny, slipped and was severely injured by his sword, which he had unwisely worn on the rink.

DUNDEE: Local health authorities expressed concern over an epidemic of "social complaints" which is afflicting the local population. The first case was reported by Brother Spiro Keats, a monk, who claimed that he caught it from a back-alley privy.

THE MINISTRY OF MISCELLANY

The answer to the "Camel's Tour" puzzle of GRAUSTARK #129, together with much other material of interest, will be found in the first issue of STRÖBECK, a journal which will deal with the history and byways of chess. STRÖBECK #1 contains articles about the Arab-Medieval version of chess which preceded the modern game, and about a variation on a 11x10 board designed to the order of Tamerlane. A full game of each variety is given. STRÖBECK, named after the famous German "chess village", is 25¢, or 5 issues for \$1, from the publisher of GRAUSTARK.

*

Steve Cartier announces the organization of the first all-female postal Diplomacy game. Players are Emma Smith, Martha Tabram, Mary Ann Nichols, Anne Chapman, Betty Strife, Catherine Eddowes, and Mary Jane Kelly. I would guess from her name that Miss Strife has the best chance of winning.

*

This publication is not edited under the supervision of Bangs Leslie Tapscott.

THE ADVENTURES OF SECRET AGENT O-O-HATE

Chapter XXXIII

Secret Agent O-O-Hate, who in reality is Clark Gungel, mild-mannered comic book collector of Bugle, Pennsylvania, carefully examined the site of the old Loose Itania printing plant. Every trace of the decrepit old building had been spirited away in a few minutes, leaving only a vacant lot. However, after an hour of diligent search, O-O-Hate found a significant clue - a small green wooden block!

"Aha!" he said aloud. "Perhaps the Let's Tear Apart Wrecking Company left this behind!" Looking away from the lot, he saw along the road another small wooden block, this time a white one. Further away was a narrow piece of yellow wood.

"A trail!" he shouted, leaping into his 1941 Batmobile. "Now to find out who the sinister forces behind Let's Tear Apart are, and why they have contracted to tear down the Berlin Wall!"

The Batmobile sped down the oddly named California streets in pursuit of the line of wooden blocks. After several miles of driving, O-O-Hate swerved his car between the legs of an unusually tall man who swore at him in fluent Lower Lusatian, and brought it to a halt in front of a factory whose sign read "Trans-Pacific Napalm Works - There's No Balm for Napalm!"

"This is an odd place to find representatives of the Sinister International Pacifist Conspiracy," O-O-Hate thought to himself; "but they've infiltrated everything else. Even the churches used to be patriotic, but now they've all put up this sign 'Thou shalt not kill'. I don't know what's becoming of America."

Silently, the secret agent entered the factory. As it turned out, his precautions were unnecessary; the interior of the building rang with noise. Over it, O-O-Hate heard a shout.

"You finished on that U. S. Air Force order yet?"

Another voice roared back: "Yeah! We been working on the Hanoi consignment fer mosta this shift!"

((What plot is being hatched in the napalm factory? Keep posted on the adventures of O-O-Hate in future issues of GRAUSTARK.))

A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE GRAND DUCHY OF BEAUCOILLON - VII

During the days of the Russian Empire, many Russian nobles, and even members of the royal family, would come to Beaucouillon and other diversions. (Thirty-seven Romanovskys are listed in the 1966 Beaucouillon telephone directory.) But few of these gilded gentry realized how far back the ties between Beaucouillon and Russia go. They began with the policy of the Russian Grand Prince Yaroslav the Wise, who in the 11th century tried to establish a network of alliances throughout Europe by marrying his daughters to European princes. By the time he got around to Beaucouillon, all his legitimate daughters were used up, so he offered to Grand Duke Ganymedes III the hand of his illegitimate daughter Svetfrili, whose mother Hedwig the Headstrong had been left behind as pledge for a loan by her father, the Viking chieftain Svein Shortpurse.

The next year, Ganymedes III was poisoned by his brother, who ascended the Grand Ducal throne as Cosimo V. He married his brother's widow, but the morning after the marriage Svetfrili went to Beaucouillon Cathedral and, at high mass, publicly denounced the new Grand Duke to Bishop Dyspepsius as "a sexual pervert".

The Bishop, alarmed at this charge, sent for "a certayne discrete Lady Abbess, who privlie questioned the aforesayd Grande Duchesse as to the perverse actions of her brydegroume. When she had ascertayned the facts, the Abbess laughed, and sayd to the Grande Duchesse & to the Bishopp, Certes, the Grande Duke Cosimo is no pervert, but, though I speake ill of the deade, the Grande Duke Ganymedes was nowght else." According to Sir Francie Walsingham, from whose private papers this account is taken, Svetfrili and the Grand Duke were reconciled, and lived in perfect harmony for 34 years, bringing fourteen children into the world.

THE DIPLOMATIC POUCH

HARRY MANOGG, P. O. Box 769, Kankakee, ILL. 60901: As a novice player do not have the skill or the time to join in any of your games as yet - sure enjoy the propaganda.

Someday may be able to design a few flags for some of the diplomacy players. Here though, are the real or possible flags for some of the places mentioned in the last three issues of GRAUSTARK.

Elba: White, a red diagonal stripe with three golden bees on the stripe. This was the island flag under Napoleon I. (team colors - yellow and red, team nickname 'sons of bees'.)

Sarawak: Yellow a cross divided vertically, black at the flagstaff, red at the fly edge. A yellow crown in the center of the cross on the Naval Ensign. This flag used c. 1848-1948 while island was independent. (team colors, yellow, black, red - nickname - 'the doublecrossers'.)

Sevastopol: Red a white Griffin. (the coat of arms of the city.)

Beaucouillon: I suggest the following flag. Lavender, a yellow stripe between two plumes of white feathers. The royal flag could omit the yellow stripe and be simply lavender, semee (sprinkled with) white feathers.

How about a contest for an official Diplomacy flag? Have already suggested one design to Don Miller. If you are interested I could write a short article on flag design and color symbolism to get things going.

((Mr. Manogg has been contacted about this last suggestion, and hopefully something of the sort may appear after some of the more space-consuming GRAUSTARK games are cut of the way. I also questioned him about his use of heraldic terminology in the above-printed letter; the traditional way to blazon, for example, the Sevastopolitan arms would be "Gules, a gryphon segreant argent". I presume the griffin (or "gryphon" in the older spelling) would be represented as segreant, the pose which for any other heraldic beast would be "rampant". Mr. Manogg replied as follows.))

No, "lavender, a yellow stripe" is not a usual armorial blazon, but as a Herald and Vexillologist (Vexillum = Flag) who prefers Heraldry to be a living, rather than a dead art, I do often depart from the conventions. It does give me some amusement that a political liberal, as you appear to be, can be so conservative in other fields.

((You've discovered my secret. Actually, I am working to bring about such a society that in it, a man with my views would be regarded as a conservative.))

My little French dictionary gives me Beaucouillon = pretty coward. Is this what you intended? If so my unorthodox blazon seems appropriate. Since modern science lets us use many shades of colors by providing us with dyes and paints that will hold up, and be practical for use in flags, shields, etc., it seems foolish to me to have heraldry and flag design restricted to just a few tinctures. Since we speak English, not Norman-French, it seems silly to me to use such words as Gules, or, etc. Besides, in this particular coat I do mean yellow, not gold, and myself recognize the existence in heraldry of the colors of yellow and white, as well as the metals of gold and silver.

Or if you prefer, Blue, sprinkled with white feathers.

((I am not the first person to write an account of the Grand Duchy of Beaucouillon. The name is French, but the population is largely Italianate, with a strong Russian element arising from the long history of cultural relations between the Grand Duchy and several generations of Russian noblemen. To the best of my knowledge no description of the Grand Duchy's flag or arms exists in the works of Mr. Pike, who was concerned with quite other aspects of the country, its ruler, and its inhabitants. But perhaps further research may turn up some information. As for the name "Beaucouillon", I might suggest that your French dictionary is insufficiently extensive. Perhaps a French equivalent of Partridge might prove more informative.))

GEORGE HEAP, P. O. Box 1487, Rochester, N. Y. 14603: Diplomacy Questions:

1. The following seem to follow the rules but are illogical:

a. F Norway-Swe is legal in one move. ((Of course; they border along a coast.))

b. A Spa-North Africa is illegal unless convoyed. ((That's how A. Tarik had to do it.))

c. An army cannot be convoyed through Denmark or Constantinople: i. e., A Ber-

Norway; F Bal, F Den, & F Skt C A Ber-Norway is illegal. ((The rules state that convoys can be made only through bodies of water.))

d. A retreating army in a Fall move may retreat to and "capture" an unoccupied supply center (assuming this is not ruled out on by the Rulebook restrictions on retreats.)

e. A unit entering a supply center in the spring of a year but leaving it on the fall of the same year does not change the ownership of the supply center. ((There it is in the rule book.))

2. Example: RUSSIA: A Pru-Ber; FRANCE: A Mun-Ber.

a. Is a German army in Berlin dislodged? ((No, since nothing dislodged and replaced it.))

b. If Berlin is an unoccupied German supply center and it is fall, does Germany lose Berlin? ((No, since no one gained it. Compare the current situation of the lone Italian fleet in Tunis in 1966R; either France or Turkey acting alone could dislodge it, but as long as they both try to get in, neither will.))

3. Where a power in civil collapse loses homeland supply centers and a unit must be removed, how does the Gamesmaster decide if the distance rule doesn't apply? Examples: If Russia, in civil collapse, had armies in Prussia and Galicia, and if one had to be removed, which one is? ((In this case, both provinces are just over the Russian border. I'd flip a coin in this situation.))

This is

4. How do you resolve retreat conflicts? (Chalker or Bounds had both units taken off the board.) ((So do I; see the Turkish retreats in 1966B, GRAUSTARK #113.))

O At

P Great

E Intervals

R This

A Appears

T To

I Inflame

O Optic

N Nerves

5. Example: AUSTRIA-HUNGARY: A Rom-Nap; A Apu S A Rom-Nap; FRANCE: F Nap-Rom; F Tus S F Nap-Rom.

a. What happens? ((A standoff; nobody moves.))

b. To the above add AUSTRIA-HUNGARY: A Ven-Rom. Now what happens? (I swear this happened as stated except that "France" was actually a French-Italian combination.)

((This is also a stand-off. Since Rome was not vacated, the Austro-Hungarian army in Venice could not enter it.))

ALIAN B. CALHAMER, 201 W. 21st St., New York, N. Y. 10011: Eugene # 333
Frosnitz's articles (just received) are quite good.

((Praise from Cassar is praise indeed. These articles appeared in GRAUSTARKS 128, 129, and 130. They are still available at the back issue rate of 10¢ each.))

JOHN BESHARA, Apt. 1021, 155 W. 68th St., New York, N. Y. 10023: If someone does not send in moves, what happens? ((Names in the following example are fictitious.))

I was told that Draggin and Seidlitz, who were playing in a game in Welf & Walbling had some recent difficulties: Cyder, who was Russia, informed Gamesmaster Muesse that he had an alliance with Germany. Germany did not send in his moves, and Cyder ordered the standby to make moves against France. At the same time Draggin who was Turkey was faced with the situation whereby Austria did not send in any moves and Muesse didn't have a substitute make moves. Needless to say Draggin and Seidlitz were both killed. It turned out later that Germany had sent in several sets of moves, badly written, and may or may not have forgotten to include the moves for the game with Seidlitz; also, although he had been fighting Seidlitz, they had agreed on an alliance and had his moves been registered he was attacking Russia. Now, this is hearsay, but I would like to know how you handle this sort of situation, particularly where two people don't send in moves.

((This account is a little hard to follow, but we can start from the reasonable supposition that moves which aren't sent in, aren't printed. Badly written moves are given with the notation "sic", and followed wherever possible. An order to support or convoy a unit from another country is not followed unless the move from the other player is in accordance. The one exception to this is if one country does not order a unit, and a second country supports this un-ordered unit holding, that support is valid. I do not accept a player's statement about whom he has an alliance with, or permit one player to make moves for another in the same game.))

A problem that has perplexed me is: Italy has fleets in Tunis and the Tyrrhenian,

and an army in Naples. Turkey has fleets in the Ionian and Aegean Seas and in Greece.
 ITALY: F Tyr-Ion; F Tun S F Tyr-Ion. TURKEY: F Ion-Mep; F Aeg-Ion; F Gre S
 F Aeg-Ion.

What happens? Does the F Ion have to retreat? I realize, of course, that F Tyr does not get into the Ionian, nor does the F Aeg.

((The Turkish "F Ion-Mep" obviously does not succeed, since Naples is not vacant. Turkey and Italy are each trying to get into the Ionian Sea with one support. This also produces a stand-off. No one moves.))

JOHN McCALLUM, "A" Quarters, S. E. S., Ralston, Alberta: In GRAUSTARK #127, that propaganda datelined Vienna, that you want Conrad to translate. What language is it? Rumania? It is obviously Latin based, but not one of the standard ones, so that would be my guess.

((Yes; it's a press release submitted by Zita Rafailovich, whose linguistic abilities are at least the equal of Conrad von Metake's. It is particularly appropriate that she is playing Austria-Hungary in 1967, since she is named after the last Habsburg Empress.))

JERRY FOURNELLE, 8396 Fox Hills Ave., Buena Park, Calif.: If you actually think that your comments ((in GRAUSTARK #129)) are relevant to my letter, you have my deepest sympathy.

I really wish you would stop projecting. I have not, so far as I am able to tell, scared and feathered a single pacifist; punched any women with or without anti-war signs; expressed any regrets to any newsmen that my non-existent victims were not killed; nor indeed expressed any regrets to anyone that the victims of the New York group were not killed; nor indeed, commented publicly or in your hearing about the incidents referred to in your "reply". When I do so, you are welcome to comment, but until I actually do some of these things, I think it a bit strained of you to comment at length on these activities as a reply to my - greatly curtailed - letter.

((Hawk is hawk; when the talons kill, the wings are not guiltless. For several years you have been providing an intellectual "justification" for a war policy on the part of the U. S. government. I call into evidence the quotations from your writings which occur in Paul Anderson's Thermuclear War, a futile attempt to keep the United States from ratifying the Test Ban Treaty. An Eastland or Buckley, who encourages racist lawlessness, is culpable in the violence perpetrated by their rednecked disciples.))

ROD WALKER, TUSLOG Det. 183; APO New York, N. Y. 09254: You will forgive me if I fail to be impressed by the fact that some unsavory characters support our policy in Vietnam (or what they believe to be our policy anyway). ((James J. Dygert and the Congress of the United States seem to believe it to be "the liberation of North Vietnam.")) The idea that their character reflects the character of all those who support the war, and conduct it, is an argument ad hominem of the worst order and so obviously fallacious as to be unworthy of a person of your intellect. ((I think that an argument ad angelis would be a little impractical.)) I might as well infer that you, and Senator Kennedy, and Dr. King are all Communists because the Communist Party U. S. A. is also against the war. ((No, but you might persuade some other hawks that they're not.)) You have found one General who likes the war. Good! Shall I tell you of the dozens of officers and airmen I have known who have been in that war and deplore it sincerely, but also recognize the necessity for it? ("I weep for you," the Walrus said, "I deeply sympathize...") I know damn few people in the service who "enjoy killing Gongs" or anybody else. By what authority do you presume to judge the motivations and opinions of thousands and millions by virtue of the statement of one or the actions of a handful? As a scientist, John, you should know that the sample by which you have inferred the nature of a class is wholly unrepresentative, and your conclusions are not only invalid but ludicrous. If you were to publish a paper - if you could get it published - in which you attempted to make some generalization about the physical world on the basis of evidence as circumstantial as that on which you base your conclusions about "hawks", your colleagues would laugh you out of the field.

((You should see some of the papers which have been published recently about quakers. We have so little information about them that the most wildly divergent and

unlikely theories have been put forth. Now compare our attempt to find out the true nature of the Great American Hawk. Arthur Sylvester of the Defense Department has openly asserted that, in the "public interest", the government has "a right to lie". Therefore we can seek no trustworthy information from that direction. Almost every reason put forward for the U. S. invasion of Vietnam can be matched with a... somewhere else in the world, where a similar situation exists and the United States has behaved quite differently. So naturally we have to be very speculative in trying to determine the character of this bloodthirsty bird the hawk. Certainly his behavior on the streets of New York is not inconsistent with his behavior in the villages of Vietnam.))

Somehow, I believe you must be oblivious to the fact that your assumption that your "shinbone" argument is completely illogical. Suppose I come to New York, and say, "a fossil animal's nature may be inferred from its shinbone; therefore, since New York City has a Republican Mayor and New York State has a Republican Governor, I may infer that every State has a Republican Governor and every State has a Republican Mayor...Silly, no?...Frankly, I see absolutely no difference between your apparent belief that Hawks are generally like a statistically insignificant group of demonstrators and Charles Reinsel's apparent belief that Doves are generally like a statistically insignificant group of Communists.

((("Shinbone logic" is not based on just one example. But, when almost every newspaper brings the news that pacifists are being fired or beaten up for their beliefs, it is hard to dismiss these reports as statistically insignificant. Nor are the hawks stopping at the doves themselves; recently an Indiana teacher and war veteran, who supports US policy in Vietnam, was fired because he had come to New York during the 15 April peace demonstration to cover it as a free-lance reporter. Such a consistent spate of attacks is not a statistical accident. When the President of the United States telephones the President of Yale University and asks that two students who have written him a letter critical of his Vietnam policy be expelled, we are reaching a national crisis of civil liberties.))

One thing which has characterized the Vietnam debate on both sides is the willingness to stoop to irrationality, unwarranted generalization, argument ad hominem, irrelevant side issues (like what Lord Ruseell believed in 1946) and complete unwillingness to accept the fact that the other side might have some rational arguments for its position too.

((Captain Walker's comments will be continued in the next issue, space permitting. Of course, there is not agreement on what the issues are, which hampers discussion. I feel it is peace vs. war. Most hawks see it as US vs. Them. A few people take a moderate position - midway between the two extremes of life and death.))

GRAUSTARK #132

John Boardman
592 16th Street
Brooklyn, N. Y. 11218
U. S. A.

F I R S T C L A S S M A I L

SUPPORT OUR BOYS IN VIETNAM

Burn a baby today.